BLANK PAGE

FILE COPY

Office - Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
JAN. 16 1940
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY,
CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

OCTOBER TERM, 1939.

THE SCHRIBER-SCHROTH COMPANY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE CLEVELAND TRUST COMPANY,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
Respondents.
No. 650 9

THE ABERDEEN MOTOR SUPPLY COMPANY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE CLEVELAND TRUST COMPANY,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
Respondents.
No. 651 10

THE F. E. ROWE SALES COMPANY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE CLEVELAND TRUST COMPANY,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
Respondents.
No. 652 11

MOTION TO CONSIDER PETITION FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI ON REDUCED NUMBER OF COPIES OF RECORD.

JOHN H. BRUNINGA,
JOHN H. SUTHERLAND,
Attorneys for Petitioners.

St. Louis Law Printing Co., 415 North Eighth Street. CEntral 4477.

BLANK PAGE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

OCTOBER TERM, 1939.

THE SCHRIBER-SCHROTH COMPANY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE CLEVELAND TRUST COMPANY,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
Respondents.
No. .....

THE ABERDEEN MOTOR SUPPLY COMPANY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE CLEVELAND TRUST COMPANY,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
Respondents.
No. .....

THE F. E. ROWE SALES COMPANY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE CLEVELAND TRUST COMPANY,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
Respondents.
No. .....

MOTION TO CONSIDER PETITION FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI ON REDUCED NUMBER OF COPIES OF RECORD.

Now come counsel for petitioners in the above-entitled causes, and represent:

That they are filing herewith their petition for writs of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, accompanied by a certified transcript of

the record below; said transcript containing all of the proceedings before and after these causes were here as Nos. 3, 4 and 5 of the October, 1938, Term.

That when petitioners applied for and obtained writs of certiorari in these cases at the October, 1937, Term they made diligent inquiry for and obtained all known-available copies of the printed record in the Circuit Court of Appeals in order to meet the requirements of this Court.

That petitioners were appellees below, were not responsible for printing the record there, and consequently are not chargeable with failure or neglect to print a sufficient number of copies; that the transcript consists of approximately 2,428 printed pages, and that the original cost of printing the proceedings in the District Court, as petitioners are informed, was $5,090.86.

That petitioners are informed that there are two copies of the printed record, as used before, now in the custody of the librarian of this court; and the certified transcript, as used before, on file in the office of the clerk of this court; that counsel for the petitioners have but one complete copy of the record, and that petitioners are advised and believe that counsel for the respondents have one or more copies of the record for their use.

That while petitioners cannot furnish the required number of copies of the record as printed for the Circuit Court of Appeals without having the same reprinted, petitioners have had printed the required number of copies of the proceedings in the Circuit Court of Appeals (other than the record as it came from the District Court), both before and after the causes were remanded by this Court.

Inasmuch as these same causes were before this Court at the last term, the majority of the members of this Court are already well informed with respect to the record in general.

Wherefore, it is prayed that the Court receive and consider the accompanying petition for writ of certiorari upon the certified copy of the transcript filed herewith (and the three additional copies available to the Court); and grant leave to petitioners to serve respondents with notice of the filing of the petition, a copy of the petition and supporting brief and a copy of the proceedings in the Circuit Court of Appeals after the causes were remanded to that Court for further proceedings, but without a copy of the record as printed for the court below.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN H. BRUNINGA,
JOHN H. SUTHERLAND,
Attorneys for Petitioners.

January 6, 1940.